

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/586,163	FUSHIMI ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JAMES YAGER	1782	

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) JAMES YAGER.

(3) _____.

(2) MANABU KANESAKA.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 August 2010**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Koike et al. (2003/0156080)

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner proposed amending claim 1 to a multicolor glass bottle to clearly distinguish from the closest prior art Koike et al. (2003/0156080), which discloses a Braun tube. Applicant's representative agreed to the proposed amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rena L. Dye/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782
/James C. Yager/
Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)